






Project name	Brunel Walk  (Tottenham Green)
Consultation timeline	Friday 20 August 2021 – Monday 13 September 2021
Consultation audience  (Note: this was the number of households the engagement letter was sent too. It should be noted that residents outside of the letter drop are also able to comment)	948 households
Response rate	17 paper questionnaires received 24 Commonplace responses received 8 emails received 17 comments received across the MS Teams Live events
Overall response to the consultation	 Very negative – 2 (5%)  Negative – 8 (20%)  Neutral – 4 (10%)  Positive – 11 (28%)  Very positive – 14 (36%) <p>2 respondents did not indicate an overall response to the consultation.</p>
What are issues are important to you when considering these proposals?	Community Impact – 33/41

	<p>Parking – 17/41</p> <p>Waste and recycling facilities – 22/41</p> <p>Childrens play area – 18/41</p> <p>Carbon reduction plans – 20/41</p> <p>Height of homes –17/41</p> <p>Cycle storage – 13/41</p> <p>Design of homes – 24/41</p> <p>Green Spaces – 26/41</p> <p>Other – Community resources, construction and crime.</p>
<p>Why are these issues important to you?</p>	<p><b><u>Community facilities:</u></b> Active resident association and suitable place to meet, and store gardening equipment. Refurbish the community hall. (4 respondents)</p> <p><b><u>ASB/Security:</u></b> Ensure CCTV and good lighting, close thoroughway by Brunel Walk, noise nuisance increase with new residents and public gardens. (10 respondents)</p> <p><b><u>Parking:</u></b> No parking available in the local area, no provision for parking permits, not enough parking spaces to accommodate existing homes, increase of traffic in the area and emissions, create two way road (7 respondents).</p> <p><b><u>Height and privacy:</u></b> Too close to existing properties, blocking sunlight and daylight, too high for the surrounding area, height should be in keeping with local area. (7 respondents).</p>
<p>Do you think these proposals can be improved and if so, why?</p>	<p><b><u>Community facilities and engagement:</u></b> A new community facility with a toilet should be incorporated, greater period of community engagement (4 respondents)</p> <p><b><u>Green space/public realm:</u></b></p>

	<p>Trees should not be more important than parking, better green spaces and borders, sustainable homes with materials that help biodiversity and sustainability, and tie in with local connections to Lea Valley, better positioning of the footpath etc. (6 respondents)</p> <p><b><u>Height of homes</u></b> Should be reduced, be reduce to two storeys, remove balconies, in keeping with the local area, respect privacy of existing homes and residents (7 respondents)</p>
--	---